INFLUENCE OF POST–BLEACHING TIME INTERVALS USING 37% CARBAMIDE PEROXIDE ON DENTAL SUBSTRATE ADHESION
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ABSTRACT: The aim of this study was to evaluate the influence of post–bleaching time intervals using 37% carbamide peroxide on dental substrate adhesion. Fifty bovine incisors were sectioned in two groups of 4X4 mm standard blocks to obtain 50 specimens in each group (n=50). In fifty blocks, the dentin (D) was analyzed and in the other 50 the enamel (E). Blocks were subjected to bleaching treatment and restored with Single Bond/z250 according to post-bleaching time intervals (0, 7, 14 and 21 days). Twenty–four hours after adhesive/resin cylinders on substrate confection, shear bond strength test (SBS) was performed in an universal test machine. Means in MPa and their respective standard deviations were: E – control: 31.89 (2.39); T0: 19.07 (2.00); T7: 24.97 (4.89); T14: 29.71 (4.89); T21: 40.91 (4.75) and D – control: 18.90 (3.64); T0: 2.22 (0.41); T7: 3.79 (0.75); T14: 5.95 (0.79); T21: 8.40 (0.87). Data were submitted to ANOVA and Tukey (p< 0.05) tests. The bond strength value for the enamel was statistically higher than the dentin, and both were lower than the control group that had similar results after 21 days post–bleaching. In dentin group, the control showed superior bond strength and was statistically different in relation to other times. It was concluded that bleaching had negative influence on adhesion. Therefore it is necessary to wait, at least, 21 days after bleaching to restore the enamel.
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Clinical Relevance: Bleaching treatment for non-vital teeth with 37% carbamide peroxide can negatively influence composite resin adhesion, and it is necessary to wait, at least, 21 days after bleaching to restore dental structure.

1. INTRODUCTION

Discoloration of teeth is a factor that compromise esthetics. However, this problem can be treated through the bleaching procedure, which can turn it minimal or even return the color to the tooth (FERREIRA, 2016). Nowadays, bleaching agents with hydrogen peroxide, as their active substance, have been chosen in clinical field because their action mechanism consists of a strong oxidation reaction through the formation of free radicals, reactive oxygen molecules and peroxide anions of hydrogen (CHNG, 2002; EIMAR, 2012; XU, 2011). These reactive molecules attack the long–chained, dark–colored chromophore molecules of the tooth and split them into smaller, less colored and more diffusible molecules (TREDWIN, 2006). Carbamide peroxide, a material hitherto used for extern bleaching, has been indicated for inner bleaching and has obtained satisfactory results. It is applied in the pulp chamber by means of a technique known as walking bleach, an efficient technique that requires less time spent and avoids more costly and invasive dental treatments, besides the preservation of the dental structure (SPASSER, 1961).

The bleaching materials used in the walking bleach technique have an active substance, hydrogen peroxide, which is responsible for tooth bleaching (SPASSER, 1961). Although hydrogen peroxide remains active within the pulp chamber and in dentin tubules for a significant period after bleaching, it releases more oxygen resulting from its decomposition, which can reduce the adhesion of restorative materials after the application of bleaching procedure (BERGER, 2013; CANNABRAVA, 2014).

Therefore, tooth pretreatment using hydrogen peroxide–based substances may affect the quality of adhesion of restorative materials. Thus, the residual oxygen can inhibit resin polymerization and, as a result, decrease the bond strength of the restorative material (GAUTHIER, 2005; BARCELLOS, 2010). Besides, a marginal microinfiltration increasing may occur due to defective marginal closure (KUMAR, 2015).

To control the adverse effect of the bleaching treatment, it was suggested to increase the waiting time between the procedure and the subsequent restoration for oxygen reduction (DA SILVA MACHADO, 200). However, a study showed that the bleaching procedure did not affect resin–enamel bond strength, regardless of the waiting time for restoration placement after bleaching (PIMENTEL, 2015).

Other study has shown that when 37% of carbamide peroxide was used, the bleaching procedure did not interfere with the adhesive system and allowed to perform the restoration immediately after treatment (SILVA, 2017). In spite of that, these results are controversy, since other findings (DA SILVA MACHADO, 2007; VAN DER VYVER, 1997) have demonstrated that adhesion is better after one–two weeks of treatment on the dental substrate.

As the results of the literature are still very conflicting about the waiting time after bleaching treatment, the aim of this in vitro study was to evaluate the influence of post–bleaching of different waiting times using 37% of carbamide peroxide in the internal bleaching, adhesion to the subjacent enamel and dentin in direct contact with the bleaching agent.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Fifteen freshly extracted bovine incisors were kept (stored) in 0.1% thymol (pH=7.0). The teeth were submitted to a soft–tissue debriment with periodontal curettes and cleaned with slurry of pumice in a webbed rubber cup in a slow–speed handpiece. Dental crowns were sectioned on the mesio–distal and occlusal–cervical directions using a low speed water–cooled diamond saw (Isomet 1000, Buehler, Lake Bluff, IL, USA) to obtain 50–incisal surfaces (enamel) and 50
Figure 1. Schematic illustration of specimen preparation and shear bond strength test
that the resin composite cylinders would be precisely adhered to the dentin surface. The delimited area of each specimen was etched with 37% phosphoric acid gel (Etching gel; 3M/ESPE, St. Paul, MN, USA) for 15 s, followed by copiously rinsing with air/water spray during 30 seconds, and the excess water was removed with absorbent paper. In the sequence, two layers of the adhesive system (Single Bond, – 3M Dental Products–St Paul, MN 55144–USA) was applied and light cured for 20 seconds with the light-curing unit (XL3000, 3M Dental Products, USA), with an output of 450 mW/cm2, checked with a radiometer (Demetron/ Kerr, Danbury, CT, USA).

After bonding procedure, each specimen was fixed in a clamping metallic device (developed at the Houston Biomaterial Research Center and manufactured at the Precision Workshop at Ribeirão Preto School of Dentistry of the University of São Paulo, Brazil) keeping the dentin surface parallel to a flat surface. A split bisected Teflon (polytetrafluoroethylene) matrix was positioned on the tooth/resin block surface resulting in a cylindrical cavity with a 2 mm diameter (coincident with the demarcated bonding site) and 4 mm high. A hybrid composite resin Z250 (Filtek Z250 – 3M Dental Products- St Paul, MN 55144– USA) was inserted into the matrix in three increments and each one was light–cured for 40 s with one of the light source (halogen light or LED). As the matrix cavity was completely filled, the specimen was removed from the clamping device. The matrix was opened and separated, leaving a resin composite cylinder (2 mm in diameter, 4 mm high) adhered to the delimited dentin surface.

After 24 h storage in distilled water at 37°C, each cylinder–shaped composite/acrylic resin block was loaded in shear bond in a Universal Testing Machine (MEM 2000, EMIC Ltda, São José dos Pinhais, PR, Brazil), at a crosshead speed of 0.5 mm/ min and a 50 kgf load cell until fracture. Shear bond strengths values were recorded in kgf and converted into MPa. Fractured specimens were examined with a x40 stereomicroscope (Nikon Inc, Instrument Group, Melville, NY) to assess the failure modes, which were cervical surfaces (dentin). The crows were examined with a stereomicroscope (Nikon Inc. Instrument Group, Melville, NY, USA) at 20x magnification to discard those with structural abnormalities, cracks or fractures.

One hundred specimens were individually embedded in a chemically activated acrylic resin (JET, Classic, São Paulo, SP 05458–001) using polyvinyl chloride rings cylinders (2.1 cm diameter and 1.1 cm height). After resin polymerization, the external dental surfaces (Figure 1) were ground under water refrigeration in a polishing machine (Politriz DP– 9U2,Struers AVS, Copenhagen, Denmark) using #400, #600 and #1200– grit aluminum oxide papers and a final polishing was performed with 0.50 and 0.03 mm alumina paste. Specimens were stored in distilled water at 37°C for 24 hours to re–humidify dental tissues.

After 24 hours, the specimens were bleached with 37% of carbamide peroxide, simulating the technique of non–vital teeth bleaching in the dental office, that is, the bleaching agent remained in direct contact with the dentin according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The 37% carbamide peroxide was placed and, upon it, a thin piece of absorbing paper. Finally, to lock the cavity, a plate of wax was used. The bleaching exchanges were performed 3 times with a 7 day interval between each change, completing in 21 days. During the bleaching, the specimens were stored in artificial saliva at 37°C. The amount of bleaching agent used (0.4mL) was determined in a preliminary test (Figure 1).

The specimens were then randomly assigned in two groups (dentin and enamel) of equal size (n=20), according to the post–bleaching time proposed to be studied (immediately, 7, 14 and 21 days). During the waiting time and in the restorative procedure, the specimens were stored in artificial saliva at 37°C and the saliva was changed daily.

To perform the adhesive protocol, a bonding site was demarcated and a piece of tape with a 2–mm diameter central hole was attached to the surface of each specimen. This procedure has the objective of delimiting a fixed test surface area and ensuring
classified as: adhesive if the specimen/adhesive interface was occurred; cohesive failure occurred in the material or the substrate with no damage on the interface and finally; mixed if at the same time the interface and the material were involved. Means, standard deviations and medians were calculated, and data were analyzed by Two–way ANOVA and Tukey’s test (α=0.05).

3. RESULTS

Means and standard deviation are in Table 1. Analyzed data showed that the enamel bond strength was statically superior to that of the dentin. It was inferior only to the control group that presented results similar to the 21 days after the bleaching.

By analyzing the substrate separately, it was observed that the bond strength had a significant increase, as the post–bleaching time was longer. This means that the control group was statistically superior to the immediate group, 7 days was similar to the 14 days and all were inferior to the 21–day group. Regarding the dentin group, the control group had higher bond strength than all the waiting times. There was a gradual increase of the bond strength resistance compared the increased waiting time, but did not show similar bond strength to the unbleached substrate.

Regarding the types of fractures, it was observed a predominance of adhesive fracture in all the studied groups.

Table 1. Means and standard deviation of adhesion force to substrate (MPa)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Enamel (MPa)</th>
<th>Dentin (MPa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>31.89 (±2.39) B</td>
<td>18.90 (±3.64) a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>19.07 (±2.00) D</td>
<td>2.22 (±0.41) c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7d</td>
<td>24.97 (±2.30) C</td>
<td>3.79 (±0.75) c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14d</td>
<td>29.71 (±4.89) B</td>
<td>5.95 (±0.79) b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21d</td>
<td>40.91 (±4.75) A</td>
<td>8.40 (±0.87) b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Comparing in column – Same letter means statistic similarity

4. DISCUSSION

In this research, the bleaching agent had a negative influence of the bond strength on the dental substrates. This is probably due to the residual oxygen concentration (BARCELLOS, 2010; BERGER, 2013; CANNABRAVA, 2014; GAUTHIER, 2005), regardless of whether or not the bleaching agent is directly in contact with the restored surface. It occurs because the active substance of 37% carbamide peroxide, which is hydrogen peroxide, undergoes decomposition and releases free radicals, which react with the macromolecules responsible for darkening the teeth, such as iron sulfide and hydrogen released (FÉLIZ-MATOS, 2014). However, hydrogen peroxide remains active in the inner part of pulp chamber and in the dental tubules for some time after bleaching, and possibly, some free radicals may accumulate (BERGER, 2013; CANNABRAVA, 2014) thereby interfering with the polymerization of composite resin and, consequently, reducing the bond strength of this restorative material (DISHMAN, 1994).

Regarding post–bleaching time, it was observed that there was a higher bond strength, especially after 14 days, in which the enamel was similar to the control group. This fact can occur due to the dentin diffusion of products originated by the decomposition of hydrogen peroxide in the restoration surface that inhibited the polymerization of the resin negatively interfering in its adhesion. Therefore, post–bleaching time may favor the dissolution of hydrogen peroxide and, with the reduction of the stored local oxygen; there would be less interference in the bond strength of the composite resins (HOSOYA, 2000). Similar results were also observed by Van Der Vyver et al. (1997) and Barkhordar et al. (1997).

In this study, specimens were stored in artificial saliva with fluoride solution during and after bleaching procedure. Fluoride solution may have helped in the remineralization of the specimens and consequently may have improved the results, mainly after 21 days of treatment. The mineral changes may be recovered by ion–containing solutions (BASTING, 2001; LEWINSTEIN,
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with untreated dentin even after 21 days.

As there are conflicts about the interference of the bleaching material on the adhesive systems, as well as the time to restore the post-bleached teeth (BARKHORDAR, 1997; VAN DER VYVER, 1997), further research is needed to optimize the clinical treatment of bleached teeth in the dental office. Therefore, it is suggested to investigate other factors that may interfere in the bond strength of the restorative procedure, such as the type of bleaching material, restorative material and adhesive system used, among others, to find the satisfactory parameters for clinical practice.

5. CONCLUSION

On the basis of the results, and within the limitation of an in vitro study, it may be concluded that the 37% carbamide peroxide negatively influenced the bond strength of the dental substrate mainly in the dentin, and the time intervals after bleaching interfered positively only in the enamel.
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